

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
9

10 MICHAEL H. GRISHAM, )  
11 Plaintiff(s), ) Case No. 2:13-cv-02349-JCM-NJK  
12 vs. ) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
13 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT FAMILY ) STRIKE (Docket No. 7)  
14 COURT, et al., )  
15 Defendant(s). )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_

16 Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to strike Plaintiff's "Petition of Temporary  
17 Stay." See Docket No. 7. Plaintiff's petition was filed as an attachment to Plaintiff's complaint.  
18 See Docket No. 1-3. The proper vehicle for seeking relief from the Court is a written motion  
19 supported by a memorandum of points and authority. See, e.g., Local Rule 7-2(a).<sup>1</sup> The petition  
20 fails to provide either a factual basis or legal authority supporting the request. Accordingly, the  
21 Court hereby GRANTS the motion to strike and ORDERS that the "Petition of Temporary Stay" be  
22 STRICKEN. This order is without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a proper motion seeking relief.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: January 29, 2014

25   
26 NANCY J. KOPPE  
27 United States Magistrate Judge  
28

1 Although the Court construes their filings liberally, *pro se* litigants are required to abide by the rules of the Court. See, e.g., *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995).